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Introduction
Financial knowledge and competence in money management have become imperatives for all segments of society. Researchers have pointed to a lack of basic financial knowledge on the part of high school students, college students and young adults alike, and posited that this deficiency may be explained by the absence of personal financial education in school curriculum. As a result, some states have introduced varying forms of mandates requiring personal finance education (Council for Economic Education (CEE), 2016; Walstad, Tharayil, \& Wagner, 2016). Georgia, where this research was conducted, requires personal finance topics to be taught in K-12, including in a required high school economics course.

Cude (2017) used a survey of the University of Georgia (UGA) freshmen to examine the influence of the high school personal finance education mandate on the financial knowledge of college freshmen. The current study uses Cude's survey instrument to survey the freshmen population of the University of North Georgia (UNG). The two institutions are similar in that they draw their enrollment primarily from Georgia's public high school system. They are different in that UGA is a research institution in the top rung of the University System of Georgia (USG) while UNG is a state university in the second rung of the USG hierarchy of universities and colleges. Freshmen entering both universities during 2016 and 2017 would have been exposed to some form of financial education if they attended public high schools in Georgia.

## Study Objectives

The main objective of the current study was to investigate whether the format in which college freshmen received high school personal finance education influenced their financial knowledge. This study compared the financial knowledge of freshmen who took a standalone course in personal finance in high school with the knowledge of those who received personal finance content embedded in other courses. Further, this study sheds light on whether the number of personal finance topics freshmen recall being taught in high school impacts their financial knowledge scores.

## Literature Review

Previous studies addressing the issue of financial literacy among young adults generally, and college students in particular, pointed to serious deficiencies in their financial knowledge and resultant behaviors (Avard et al., 2005; Chen \& Volpe, 1998; Cude et al., 2006, Danes \& Hira, 1987; Grable, Law \& Kaus, 2012; Lusardi, Mitchell \& Curto, 2010; Lyons, 2004; Mandell, 2008; Markovich \& DeVaney, 1997; Warwick \& Mansfield, 2000; Xiao, Noring \& Anderson, 1995). Avard et al., for example, surveyed 407 students at a Texas university and, in probably the most stunning of results on a test of financial knowledge of college students, reported an average score of $34.8 \%$ with a median of $32.5 \%$.

[^0]The results of these previous studies have prompted some to conclude that very few students entering college are fully prepared to manage their finances effectively (Cude et al., 2006; Grable, Law and Kaus, 2012). Some also have argued that high school may be a good place for financial education because young people often do not understand debit and credit cards, mortgages, banking, investment products, payday lending and other financial services yet may soon use them (CEE, 2016). Others have argued that college may be an opportune time for financial education as it presents the first opportunity for students to make independent financial decisions such as whether to acquire student loan debt, use other financial aid, and make decisions about housing arrangements, work, and expenditure choices (Cude, Danns \& Kabaci, 2016; Durband \& Britt, 2012; Jobst, 2012).

## Significance of Study

This study is significant in that it adds to our understanding of the influence of high school personal finance education on college freshmen financial knowledge. It may help researchers and policy makers alike understand whether the ways in which high schools implement personal finance education mandates should be re-examined.

## Method

To answer the research questions, this study used data derived from a survey of freshmen conducted at the University of North Georgia during Spring 2017. An online survey, developed by Cude (2017), was used to collect the data.

With the assistance of the Institutional Effectiveness Office of the University of North Georgia, a total of 4,019 emails with an embedded Qualtrics link to the survey were sent to all enrolled freshmen. Respondents were offered a chance to win one of five $\$ 25$ gift cards. A total of 619 responses were received but 99 participants expressed interest only in entering to win a gift card. Therefore, a total of 520 participants contributed to the study. Survey questions included ones measuring financial knowledge, questions about the freshmen's recall and perceptions of their high school personal financial education experience, questions about their financial activities/behaviors, and questions about their majors and gender.

Responses to three questions were used to identify students who had experienced personal finance education in high school:

1. Did you take a standalone course in high school where all or most of the course was about personal finance/money management? If no or don't remember
2. Did you take a course in high school that was not primarily about personal finance/money management but covered some personal finance/money management content? If no or don't remember
3. Did you take a course in high school that was at least in part about personal budgeting, credit, insurance or saving and investing?
The three different question wordings were designed to identify as many students as possible who recalled experience with high school personal finance education, including those who might not have recognized the terms "personal finance/money management." The researchers also created a variable to measure the number of personal finance/money management topics covered based on the respondents' recall.

The financial knowledge variable was the number of correct answers to five knowledge questions. The knowledge questions were the "Big Three" Lusardi and Mitchell (2008) questions plus two written by Cude (2017) about credit cards and credit scores. The knowledge questions were scored 1 for the correct answer and 0 for the incorrect answer. The scores were calculated to determine a composite score (the financial knowledge score) between zero and five for each respondent. Cross tabulations were completed and mean scores calculated and analyzed. The researchers used ANOVA and the Bonferroni test for differences of means to investigate if there were significant differences in the mean scores of freshmen who had experienced high school financial education in different formats.

## Results

## Demographic Variables

Most (94\%) of the 520 respondents who provided complete responses to the survey completed high school in the state of Georgia. Almost 88\% of respondents graduated from a public high school; 7.3\% attended private school; 2.3\% and 2.1\% attended high school online or were home schooled, respectively, while 3 participants ( $0.6 \%$ ) completed the GED. Four hundred and fifty-nine respondents provided demographic information on age, race and sex. Of these, 139 or $30.3 \%$ were males and 320 or $69.7 \%$ females. The majority of respondents (96\%) were between the ages of 18 and 22 and $91.3 \%$ were single. Tables 1 to 7 provide other descriptive statistics of respondents.

Table 1. State Where You Completed Most of Your High School Education ( $\mathrm{n}=520$ )

| State | Freq. | $\%$ | State | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 2 | 0.4 | Pennsylvania | 2 | 0.4 |
| Connecticut | 1 | 0.2 | South Carolina | 1 | 0.2 |
| Florida | 6 | 1.1 | Tennessee | 1 | 0.2 |
| Georgia | 489 | 94.0 | Texas | 1 | 0.2 |
| Illinois | 1 | 0.2 | Virginia | 2 | 0.4 |
| Indiana | 1 | 0.2 | Wisconsin | 2 | 0.4 |
| Mississippi | 1 | 0.2 | Other location | 8 | 1.5 |
| North Carolina | 2 | 0.4 |  |  |  |

Table 2. Description of High School Education ( $\mathrm{n}=520$ )

| Graduated from: | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public high school | 456 | 87.7 |
| Private high school | 38 | 7.3 |
| Online high school | 12 | 2.3 |
| Home schooled | 11 | 2.1 |
| Completing GED | 3 | 0.6 |

Table 4. Classification of Participants ( $n=460$ )

| Year in college | Freq. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| First year/Freshman | 443 | 96.3 |
| Second <br> year/sophomore | 16 | 3.5 |
| Third year | 1 | 0.2 |

Table 6. Degree Objective $(\mathrm{n}=460)$

| Degree objective | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Associate degree | 137 | 29.8 |
| Bachelor's degree | 295 | 64.1 |
| Master's degree | 22 | 4.8 |
| Non-degree program | 6 | 1.3 |

Table 3. Age of Participants $(n=459)$

| Age | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $18-22$ | 440 | 95.9 |
| $23-29$ | 12 | 2.6 |
| $30-39$ | 6 | 1.3 |
| 40 or older | 1 | 0.2 |

Table 5. Gender of Participants $(n=459)$

| Gender | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 139 | 30.3 |
| Female | 320 | 69.7 |

Table 7. Participants' Marital Status ( $\mathrm{n}=459$ )

| Marital status | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 419 | 91.3 |
| Engaged | 13 | 2.8 |
| Married | 13 | 2.8 |
| Living as a couple | 12 | 2.6 |
| Other | 2 | 0.4 |

Loans, Savings, Credit and Investments
Tables 8 to 12 provide respondents' answers to questions on loans, savings, credit and investments. Nearly one-third (31.3\%) of respondents had student loans for which they had the responsibility to repay; $67.9 \%$ had savings or investment accounts to which they personally added money, while $80.8 \%$ personally made decisions about where their money is saved or invested. While most of the students had some access to a debit card (95\%), the majority (66\%) of the students in this survey did not have a credit card.

Table 8. Responsibility for Student Loans ( $n=475$ )

| Do you have student loans that will be your responsibility to repay |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| when you graduate? | Freq. | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 143 |
| I have student loans but the payment won't be my responsibility when I <br> graduate | 17 |
| I have student loans but I don't know who will repay them | 31.3 |
| No, I don't have any student loans | 278 |

Table 9. Savings or Investments $(\mathrm{n}=458)$

| Do you have any savings or investments? | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, and I've personally added money to that account | 311 | 67.9 |
| Yes, but someone else has put all of the money into the account(s) | 49 | 10.7 |
| No, I have no savings and investments | 98 | 21.4 |

Table 10. Decisions about Savings/Investment Account(s) ( $\mathrm{n}=359$ )

| How would you describe the decisions made about your saving/investment account(s)? | Freq. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| l've personally made decisions about where that money is saved/invested | 290 | 80.8 |
| Someone else has made all the decisions about where that money is saved/invested | 27 | 7.5 |
| Someone else has made most or all of the decisions but they talk to me about it | 42 | 11.7 |

Table 11. Have Debit Card ( $n=458$ )

| Do you have a debit card that you are responsible for? | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, I don't have a debit card | 22 | 4.8 |
| Yes, and it's my responsibility to manage it | 402 | 87.8 |
| Yes, but it's my parents'(or another adult's) and they manage the card | 34 | 7.4 |

Table 12. Have Credit Card ( $n=458$ )

| Do you have a credit card that you are responsible for? | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No, I don't have any credit cards | 302 | 65.9 |
| I have 2 or fewer credit cards | 112 | 24.4 |
| I have 3 or more credit cards | 17 | 3.7 |
| I have 1 or more credit cards but I'm not responsible for the payments | 27 | 5.9 |

## Format of High School Personal Finance and Financial Knowledge

The main objective of this study was to investigate whether the format in which students received high school personal finance education influenced their financial knowledge as college freshmen. The research compared the financial knowledge of freshmen who reported they experienced personal
financial education in a standalone course versus those who said their personal finance education content was embedded in other courses.

Independent Variables. Only $13.6 \%$ (71) of the 520 respondents reported taking a standalone course in high school where most or all of the course content was about personal finance/money management. Another 295 (56.2\% of respondents) reported receiving personal finance education in a high school course that was not primarily about personal finance/money management but included some personal finance content. Just less than $25 \%$ of respondents said they did not experience any personal finance content in high school and nearly $5 \%$ said they did not remember or did not answer the question.

Table 13: Format of High School Personal Financial Education

| Format in which personal finance(PF)/money management (MM) was <br> delivered | Freq. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Standalone course | 71 | 13.6 |
| Course was not primarily about PF/MM but covered some PF/MM content | 295 | 56.7 |
| No course with any personal finance content | 129 | 24.8 |
| Don't remember | 17 | 3.3 |
| No response | 8 | 1.6 |

Most of the 366 respondents who recalled personal finance education in high school provided the name(s) of the course(s) in which the content was taught. In addition to courses dedicated completely to personal finance/money management, freshmen reported personal finance topics in economics, mathematics, government, advanced mathematics and decision making, family and consumer sciences and business essentials among other courses. The most frequently named course (79\%) in which personal finance topics were taught was Economics/AP Economics. This was as anticipated because personal finance is required to be taught in high school economics courses in Georgia. Thirty percent of respondents reported personal finance content taught in more than one of their high school courses; 11\% identified the content as in three to five of the courses they took in high school.

Survey respondents were asked about the topics covered in high school personal finance. Financial planning and budgeting (64.7\% of respondents) along with savings and investment strategies (65.7\%) were the most-named topics. Other popular topics covered in high school personal finance were credit and credit cards (56.8\%), banking and financial services (54.1\%) and income and taxes (46.2\%).

Table 14: Personal Finance/Money Management Topics Covered

| Topics included in high school courses that <br> covered personal finance/money management | Freq. | \% of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Financial planning and budgeting | 214 | $64.7 \%$ |
| Saving and investment strategies | 217 | $65.6 \%$ |
| Risk management and insurance | 131 | $39.6 \%$ |
| Credit and credit cards | 188 | $56.8 \%$ |
| Credit report and credit scores | 99 | $29.9 \%$ |
| Banking and financial services | 179 | $54.1 \%$ |
| Income and taxes | 153 | $46.2 \%$ |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 123 | $37.2 \%$ |
| Consumer rights and responsibilities | 105 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Other topics | 9 | $2.7 \%$ |

Figure 1 and table 15 show the number of personal finance topics covered by respondents. The modal number of topics covered was 3 with 66 respondents reporting that number.


Table 15: Number of Personal Finance
Topics Covered (n=331)

| Number of PF topics covered | Freq. |
| :---: | :---: |
| One | 41 |
| Two | 43 |
| Three | 66 |
| Four | 48 |
| Five | 39 |
| Six | 24 |
| Seven | 25 |
| Eight | 20 |
| Nine | 25 |

Figure 1: Number of PF topics covered by respondents
As expected, students who took a standalone course about personal finance/money management reported more topics covered than those who experienced personal financial education embedded in other courses. Those who reported a standalone course covered an average of six topics compared with less than four for those who received personal finance content embedded in other courses. The differences in the mean number of topics covered were statistically significant. (See Table 16).

Table 16: Number of Topics Covered by Format of Personal Financial Education

| How PF/MM education was delivered in | N | Mean <br> (number <br> high school topics) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Course not primarily about PF/MM but <br> covered some PF/MM content | 245 | 3.86 |
| Course was at least in part about budgeting, <br> credit, insurance or saving/investing | 23 | 3.74 |
| Standalone course | 63 | 6.08 |

Dependent variable. The dependent variable was the number of correct responses to five financial knowledge questions. (See Appendix A for questions).

Among the 462 respondents who answered all of the financial knowledge questions, the overall mean was 3.18 (out of 5 or $63.6 \%$ ). Only $7.8 \%$ correctly answered all five questions but only 3 incorrectly answered all five questions (See table 17 for details). Of note, is that only $20 \%$ of respondents correctly answered the credit card balance question.

When cross tabulations and an analysis of variance test were completed between the format of the financial education course and financial knowledge scores, the derived means ranged from 2.99 to 3.43. (See Table 18 and additional results in Appendix B). Based on the Bonferroni test for differences of means, the financial knowledge scores of freshmen who had taken a

Table 17: Financial Knowledge ( $\mathrm{n}=462$ )

| Number of <br> correct <br> answers | Freq. | Percent <br> of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zero | 3 | 0.6 |
| One | 24 | 5.2 |
| Two | 83 | 18.0 |
| Three | 162 | 35.1 |
| Four | 154 | 33.3 |
| Five | 36 | 7.8 | standalone course in personal finance and those who received personal finance content embedded in other courses were not significantly different. Of interest is that the mean financial knowledge scores for those freshmen who did not recall any personal financial education

in high school were not significantly different from the scores of those who reported experiencing personal financial education in high school.

Table 18: Mean Knowledge Scores by Format of Personal Financial Education in High School

| How students received personal finance | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean financial <br> knowledge scores | Std. <br> Dev. | Std. Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standalone course where most of the content was <br> about personal finance/money management | 63 | 3.1746 | 0.976 | 0.1229 |
| Course was not primarily about PF/MM but covered <br> some PF/MM content | 245 | 3.2286 | 1.046 | 0.0668 |
| Course was at least in part about budgeting, credit, <br> insurance or savings and investment | 23 | 3.4348 | 0.843 | 0.1759 |
| No course with personal finance content | 115 | 3.0870 | 1.064 | 0.0992 |
| Don't remember taking a standalone course or any <br> course with personal finance content | 15 | 2.9333 | 0.961 | 0.24817 |

Number of topics and financial knowledge. Regression analysis was performed to determine the influence of the number of topics covered in financial education on the respondents' financial knowledge scores. In this analysis, we excluded those who did not report any personal finance content in high school, retaining the 331 respondents who recalled between one and nine personal finance/money management topics. Regression results were statistically significant. See Appendix C for further data.

$$
\text { Financial knowledge score }=2.993+0.055978 \text { (\# Number of topics covered) }
$$

The F-statistic was significant at the . 0001 level, indicating the model was significant. The number of topics covered was significant and positive, indicating that the greater the number of topics covered, the higher the respondents' financial knowledge score.

Gender gap in financial knowledge. One result that is of some note here is that the financial knowledge scores of the male respondents (3.57) were higher than the scores for the female respondents (3.03). An analysis of variance indicated the difference was statistically significant. See Appendix B for further data.

Table 19: Mean Financial Knowledge Score by Gender

| Gender | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean | Std. Dev. | Std. Error |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 320 | 3.03125 | 1.0379741 | 0.058024518 |
| Male | 139 | 3.5683453 | 0.89321403 | 0.075761425 |

## Conclusions/Relevance

As in the Cude (2017) study, the college freshmen in this study had financial knowledge scores that were higher than those in previous studies among college student populations. On the one hand, this is positive, although it is difficult to directly compare scores because every study, including this one, has used a unique set of questions. However, from another perspective, the mean score of $63.6 \%$ is a failing grade, and one would hope that students who had been exposed to personal finance throughout their public school years would earn a passing grade. In addition, males still scored higher than females. And, there was no statistically significant difference in the financial knowledge scores of students who did not recall any personal finance education in high school and those who took a standalone course in personal finance.

There was a significant and positive influence of the number of personal finance topics covered in high school course(s) on financial knowledge scores. Perhaps this is an indication that all personal
finance courses are not created equal. It is somewhat surprising that one-third of students who recalled personal finance education in high school reported they did not recall any content about either financial planning/budgeting or saving and investing. These two topics seem fundamental to any personal finance content. However, perhaps the content was taught and students did not recall it. By itself, this would suggest the content made little impact on the students.

Almost 80\% of respondents who reported learning about personal finance in high school named Economics/AP Economics as a course in which they learned this content. The Georgia Council on Economic Education actively invests in in-service education for teachers in both economics and personal finance. More research is needed to determine what the return on that investment is.
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## Appendix A

## Financial Knowledge Questions used in survey

Suppose you had $\$ 100$ in a savings account and the interest rate was $2 \%$ per year. After 5 years, how much do you think you would have in the account if you left the money to grow?
$\square$ More than \$102; $\square$ Exactly \$102; $\square$ Less than $\$ 102$
Imagine that the interest rate on your savings account was 1\% per year and inflation was $2 \%$ per year. After 1 year, would you be able to buy:
$\square$ More than today with the money in this account
$\square$ Exactly the same as today with the money in this account
$\square$ Less than today with the money in this account
Do you think the following statement is true or false? "Buying a single company stock usually provides a safer return than a stock mutual fund."
$\square$ True; $\square$ False
Last month you had a $\$ 0$ balance on your credit card. This month you charged $\$ 300$ to your credit card, which has an $18 \%$ APR. When the statement comes, to pay what you owe in full, would you pay:
$\square$ More than $\$ 300 ; \quad \square 300 ; \square$ Less than $\$ 300$
Do you think the following statement is true or false? "Earning a higher salary increases your credit score.
$\square$ True ; $\square$ False

## Appendix B

Analysis of Variance results (Means are reported in the text)
Responses: Combined Score on Financial knowledge Questions (\# of correct answers)
Factors: How students received Personal Finance Content

| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F-Stat | P-value |
| How students received personal finance content | 43.9613089 | 0.99032724 | 0.93304462 | 0.4445 |  |
| Error | 456483.99531 | 1.0613932 |  |  |  |
| Total | 460487.95662 |  |  |  |  |

Analysis of Variance results: (Means are reported in text)
Responses: Combined Score on Financial knowledge Questions (\# of correct answers) Factors: Gender

| ANOVA table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F-Stat | P-value |
| Gender | 1 | 27.9547 | 27.9547 | 28.152555 | <0.0001 |
| Error | 457 | 453.78822 | 9297203 |  |  |
| Total | 458 | 481.74292 |  |  |  |

## Appendix C

## Simple linear regression results:

Dependent Variable: Total correct

Independent Variable: Number of PF topics covered
Total correct $=2.9931583+0.055977822$ Number of PF topics covered Sample size: 331
$R$ (correlation coefficient) $=0.1312604$
R-sq = 0.017229292
Estimate of error standard deviation: 1.01235
Parameter estimates:

| Parameter | Estimate | Std. Err. | Alterna <br> tive | DF | T-Stat | P-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 2.9931583 | 0.11418684 | $\neq 0$ | 329 | 26.212813 | $<0.0001$ |
| Slope | 0.055977822 | 0.023308291 | $\neq 0$ | 329 | 2.401627 | 0.0169 |

Analysis of variance table for regression model:

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F-stat | P-value |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Model | 1 | 5.9111566 | 5.9111566 | 5.7678123 | 0.0169 |
| Error | 329 | 337.17646 | 1.0248525 |  |  |
| Total | 330 | 343.08761 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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